

## An Exascale CFD Solver

Stefan Kerkemeier (ANL), Mathis Bode (JSC) Castiel2, Webinar Code of the Month Vol. 3, 2023

#### START

Mid 1980s at M.I.T (Paul Fischer, Lee Ho and Einar Rønquist) based on the spectral element method (Patera 1984)

#### COMMUNITY

500+ users worldwide ~40 publications per year

TEAM

Cross-functional team of 10 about people

OPEN SOURCE

funded by DOE

#### MISSION

Pushing the boundaries of fast and accurate CFD to improve predictive modeling

#### APPLICATIONS

Thermal hydraulics, renewables, combustion, urban, environmental, aeronautics and many more

#### **RESEARCH IMPACT**

ECP, CEEC, COEC, EXCELERAAT, PSAAP II, NEAMS, ...

#### INDUSTRY COLLABORATION

Kairos, Terrapower, Nuscale, GE, ExxonMobil, ...



Original data up to the year 2010 collected and plotted by M. Horowitz, F. Labonte, O. Shacham, K. Olukotun, L. Hammond, and C. Batten New plot and data collected for 2010-2017 by K. Rupp



distributed memory

specialization (accelerators)





- PDE-based simulations on unstructured grids
- high-order and spectral finite elements
  - ✓ any order space on any order mesh ✓ curved meshes,
  - ✓ unstructured AMR ✓ optimized low-order support



10<sup>th</sup> order basis function



non-conforming AMR, 2<sup>nd</sup> order mesh



2 Labs, 5 Universities, 30+ researchers



# nekRŠ

- > started as an early fork of *libParanumal*
- > incompressible + low Mach Navier-Stokes solver
- > MPI + (X=OCCA) using CPUs/GPUs
- > autotuning across entire solver stack
- > high-order spectral elements in space
- overset grids
- > moving and deforming meshes
- > conjugate heat transfer
- > Lagrangian phase model
- > LES and RANS models
- > available under github.com/Nek5000/nekRS

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0167819122000710







## Spectral Element Method

- Variational method, similar to FEM, using Gauss-Lobatto-Legrende points
- Domain partitioned into E (unstructured) high-order elements
- Trial and test function represented an Nth order tensor-product polynomials within each element (typically N=5-9)
- EN^3 grid points in 3D
- Low dissipation and small dispersion errors
- Fast (matrix-free) operator evaluation: o(n) storage, o(nN) work







AMR-wind, z = 100 m,  $\Delta x = 5.12$  m, 1.50 m, 0.78 m (n = 128, 250, 5).

Misun Min et al., arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.00904

Nek requires (e.g. ~8x) less grid points for the <u>same</u> accuracy



### Cost per grid point competitive to low-order methods

Towards Exascale for Wind Energy Simulations, Misun Min et al., arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.00904









Kris Rowe, ANL

### Periodic Hill at strong scaling limit

E=3.14M N=7 1.08B gridpoints

### **ALCF Mira**

No. 3 TOP500 2012 16384 out of 49152 nodes (33%) 16384 CPUs (16 cores each 2 hw-threads) n/P ~ 2k (4k per core) code: nek5000

### **ORNL Summit**

No. 1 TOP500 2018 88 out of 4600 nodes (2%) 528 GPUs n/P ~ 2M (25k per SM) code: nekRS

### Summary

- $\sim$  From 33% (hero) to 2% (toy) machine usage
- $\sim$  3.5x faster
- ~16x lower energy consumption



Ramesh Balakrishnan, ANL







George Giannakopoulos, ETH Zurich



#### **Atmospheric Boundary Layer Flows**





#### Pebble Bed Simulations on Full Summit



Figure 8: Turbulent flow in an annular packed bed with N = 352625 spheres meshed with E = 98, 782, 067 spectral elements of order N = 8 (n = 60 billion gridpoints). This NekRS simulation requires 0.233 seconds per step using 27648 V100s on Summit. The average number of pressure iterations per step is 6.

MS12 MS220 uHsiang Lar Paul Esich

Y. Lan, P. Fischer, E. Merzari, M. Min: All hex meshing strategies for densely-packed spheres. Int. Meshing Roundtable, 2021.

Office of ENERGY Science

- □ 352,625 spherical pebbles E=99 M elements
- □ N=51 B gridpoints
- □ 1.4 TB per snapshot (FP32)
- P=27648 V100s (all of Summit)
- □ High quality all-hex mesh generated by tessellation of Voronoi facets that are projected onto the sphere or domain boundaries to yield hexahedral elements
- □ ~300 elements / sphere
- Turbulent flow in the interstitial region between the randomly-packed spheres.









### Exascale Multiphysics Nuclear Reactor Simulations for Advanced Designs

Elia Merzari<sup>a,\*</sup>, Steven Hamilton<sup>b</sup>, Thomas Evans<sup>b</sup>, Paul Romano<sup>c</sup>, Paul Fischer<sup>d,e,f</sup>, Misun Min<sup>d</sup>, Stefan Kerkemeier<sup>d</sup>, Yu-Hsiang Lan<sup>d</sup>, Jun Fang<sup>g</sup>, Malachi Phillips<sup>e</sup>, Thilina Rathnayake<sup>e</sup>, Elliott Biondo<sup>b</sup>, Katherine Royston<sup>b</sup>, Noel Chalmers<sup>h</sup>, Tim Warburton<sup>i</sup>

- Largest reactor fluid flow simulation to date
- Coupled physics (neutron transport)
- ~350B gridpoints

Finalist

2023

9000 nodes (90%) of
ORNL's Frontier

Office of

Science



Assembly and full core simulations with ENRiCO. a) Detail of CFD model in NekRS including interior of each pin. b) Assembly Monte Carlo model. c) Temperature distribution in a cross section of the core. d) Total neutron interaction rate in the core computed by Shift.

Argonne

## **Ongoing Work**

- Documentation & training
- Tune performance for ANL's supercomputer Aurora
- Extend mixed precision capabilities
- Wall models for RANS and LES
- Extended physics: magnetohydrodynamics, chemical reactive flows, ...
- In-situ visualization
- Coupling to ML frameworks

## **Relevant Trends & Implications**



Hardware vendors focus on AI/ML workloads



High demand for complex (multiphysics) simulations





Moderate performance improvements in particular when it comes to *time-to-solution* 



Fast changing (unstable) environment & 3rd-party integration increases cost and complexity



Meshing and post-processing capabilities need to keep up



### nekRS@JSC Mathis Bode (JSC)

## Application example at JSC: TU Darmstadt Engine



## Application example at JSC: TU Darmstadt Engine

### Non-reactive nekRS full engine simulations

DNS of the compression-expansion stroke @2500 rpm (12 cycles)

4 moving meshes with up to

E = 9.3 million spectral elements

 $N = 9 \rightarrow 6.8$  billion grid points

44 Tb / cycle - CAD resolved

0.27 GPU-Mcore-h / cycle (based on #cores/ CPU)

Analysis of boundary layers, turbulence, ...







## Application example at JSC: TU Darmstadt Engine



Combining nekRS with other codes such as OpenFOAM to have optimal time-to-solution and wide functionality!

## Jupyter-CoEC: Simplify the usage of <a href="mailto:nekRS@JSC">nekRS@JSC</a>



rces	Г	JUPITER	Run Simulations	h	Fu
sou	H	JUWELS CPU/GPU/Booster	Pre- & Post-Processing	H	lctic
ع ا	-	JURECA-DC CPU/GPU	Client-Server Visualization	H	onar
H	Ц			μ	iτγ

Jupyter-CoEC is simple-to-use, simple-to-access in any browser!

## Jupyter-CoEC: Simplify the usage of nekRS@JSC



https://jupyter-coec.jsc.fz-juelich.de/

## Why nekRS?

nekRS is a true exascale code

Good performance at all scales

Wide applicability: From academia to industry. From boundary layers and convection processes to combustion and reactors.

Simple to use: Easy access via Jupyter-CoEC!

### nekRS support @JSC:

General support and help

Powerful workflows

Assistance with computing time, good performance and scaling

Target code for exascale supercomputer JUPITER!

Contact: <u>m.bode@fz-juelich.de</u>



nek5000.mcs.anl.gov